



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/710,837	11/14/2000		Yoshiko Miyamoto	1341.1071 (JDH:MJH) 5630	
21171	7590	06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700	HALSE	Y LLP	DUONG, THOMAS		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2145	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/710,837	MIYAMOTO, YOSHIKO			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Thomas Duong	2145			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the outhout of declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
- 2. Amendment received April 6, 2006 has been entered into record. Claims 1-10 remain pending.

Response to Amendment

3. This office action is in response to the applicants Amendment filed on April 6, 2006. Applicant amended claims 1 and 5-8. Claims 1-10 are presented for further consideration and examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2145

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glass et al. (US006629128B1), in view of Howes et al. (US006324177B1), and further in view of Dugan et al. (US006425005B1).

Page 3

- 6. With regard to *claims 1 and 5-8*, Glass discloses,
 - a request receiving unit which receives a request from an apportioning server,
 initially sent by a client connected via a network, to acquire an object reference
 for receiving a distribution of a naming service in CORBA, (Glass, abstract; col.1,
 lines 32-46; col.2, line 60 col.3, line 13)
 - a generating unit which generates the object reference of the naming service in a hot standby environment by dynamically setting address information contained in the object reference in accordance with connection information at a time of the request. (Glass, col.3, lines 46-51; col.4, lines 8-12, lines 43-46; col.6, lines 31-35, lines 39-47, lines 51-54; col.7, lines 56-61; col.10, lines 48-59; fig.3-4)
 Glass anticipates an embodiment of the invention where the server "also dynamically generates remote proxies and other objects to provide communications across the network" (Glass, col.4, lines 43-46). Furthermore, Glass states that "the remote proxy generator resides in the server-side object request broker and instantiates the remote proxy class to create a remote proxy object" (Glass, col.4, lines 8-10) and that "a system constructed using the principles outlined in this patent application dynamically generates remote proxy classes as needed at run-time" (Glass, col.6, lines 51-54). Also, Glass clearly states that Glass' "invention relates in general to the field of software systems, and more particularly to an improved system and method for distributed

processing in a computer network" (Glass, col.1, lines 6-8) and that "a need has arisen for a system and method for distributed processing in a computer network that provides communications between objects distributed across the network" (Glass, col.3, lines 62-65). Hence, Glass clearly anticipates a system for distributed processing in a computer network that dynamically generates remote proxies and other objects to provide communications across the network.

However, Glass does not explicitly teach,

- a request receiving unit which receives a request from an apportioning server,
 initially sent by a client connected via a network, to acquire an object reference
 for receiving a distribution of a naming service in CORBA,
- wherein the apportioning server has determined whether an arrival IP address is
 an apportioning IP address, and if the result is negative, establishes a connection
 with the arrival IP address, and if the result is positive, distributes the load to a
 server having a lightest load in comparison with other servers;

Howes teaches,

a request receiving unit which receives a request from an apportioning server,
 initially sent by a client connected via a network, to acquire an object reference
 for receiving a distribution of a naming service in CORBA, (Howes, col.3, lines 7-47; col.9, line 64 – col.11, line 14; fig.1, 5)

Howes discloses, "in a step 502, the Local Director checks whether a connection object exists. This is done by matching the source and destination IP addresses and port numbers of the packet with the foreign and virtual IP addresses and port numbers stored in a connection object" (Howes, col.9, line 65 – col.10, line 3). In addition, Howes discloses, "if a connection object exists, control is transferred to

Art Unit: 2145

Page 5

a step 504 and the packet is handled according to the information found in the connection object" (Howes, col.10, lines 15-18). Hence, Howes teaches of a Local Director (i.e., apportioning server), which handles an incoming packet.

wherein the apportioning server has determined whether an arrival IP address is

an apportioning IP address, and if the result is negative, establishes a connection with the arrival IP address, and if the result is positive, distributes the load to a server having a lightest load in comparison with other servers; (Howes, col.3, lines 7-47; col.9, line 64 – col.11, line 14; fig.1, 5) Howes discloses, "if no connection object exists, control is transferred to a step 506 and the Local Director checks whether the packet is a SYN packet" (Howes, col.10, lines 19-21). In addition, Howes discloses, "if the packet is a SYN packet then control is transferred to a step 510 and it is checked whether the source IP address matches a Client specified in one or more Bind ID objects. If the source address does match one or more Bind ID objects, then control is transferred to a step 512" (Howes, col.10, lines 25-36), where the virtual machine is determined. Ultimately, according to Howes, "once the virtual machine is selected, the connection is assigned to one of the physical machines that is bound to the virtual machine using a load balancing scheme such as is described in [Load Balancing Application]. Some of the load balancing schemes used may include distributing new connections to the physical machine with the fewest connections, to the physical machine with the fastest measured response time, or the a physical machine chosen in a round robin or weighted round robin

fashion" (Howes, col.10, lines 37-45). In addition, Howes discloses, "if the

destination IP address and port do not match a virtual machine then control is

Art Unit: 2145

transferred to a step 516 and the connection is assigned to a virtual machine with a Bind ID of 0. Also, if in step 510, the source IP address does not match one or more Bind ID objects, then control is also transferred to step 516 and the connection is assigned to a virtual machine with a Bind ID of 0... Thus, it has been shown that a new connection may be assigned to a specific instance of a virtual machine based on the assignment of that virtual machine to certain Client IP address" (Howes, col.10, lines 50-61). Hence, Howes teaches of assigning an incoming connection request based on the client machine's IP address.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Howes with the teachings of Glass to provide a technique for communicating with remote server objects when a client application does not know the location of the server object and the communication protocol used by the server object. In addition, according to Howes, "what is needed, therefore, is an apparatus and method for assigning connections using load balancing and also for providing access to differ rent groups of servers or ports based on the identity of the outside entity attempting to establish a connection. Such a system would could ideally integrate load balancing of connections among a group of web servers with discriminating between Clients based on the identity of the Client" (Howes, col.2, lines 56-63).

However, Glass and Howes do not explicitly teach,

a generating unit which generates the object reference of the naming service in a
 hot standby environment by dynamically setting address information contained in
 the object reference in accordance with connection information at a time of the
 request.

Art Unit: 2145

Dugan teaches,

a generating unit which generates the object reference of the naming service in a
hot standby environment by dynamically setting address information contained in
the object reference in accordance with connection information at a time of the
request. (Dugan, col.5, line 66 – col.6, line 51; col.25, lines 28-63; col.29, line 33
– col.30, line 11)

Page 7

Dugan teaches of at the time "that there is a failure in the node cache database, or, when the hot cache 771a is currently unavailable to receive further updates, the system switches from the hot cache 771a to the standby cache 771b which then functions as a hot cache" (Dugan, col.25, lines 47-50). In addition, Dugan teaches that "once an active instance of S2 has been selected, the object reference for that S2 instance is returned to NT ... [where it] effectively translates the logical name S2 to an object identifier for the selected instance of S2... The object identifier includes an IP address, port, and other information identifying the physical location of the object instance" (Dugan, col.29, lines 47-55). Hence, Dugan teaches of utilizing a naming service in a hot standby environment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Dugan with the teachings of Glass to provide a technique for communicating with remote server objects when a client application does not know the location of the server object and the communication protocol used by the server object.

7. With regard to *claims 2-4*, Glass, Howes, and Dugan disclose,

Art Unit: 2145

wherein said generating unit generates the object reference by setting at least the arrival address information contained in the connection information as the address information. (Glass, abstract; col.1, lines 32-46; col.2, line 60 - col.3, line 35; col.4, lines 29-38; fig.1-4; Dugan, col.5, line 66 – col.6, line 51; col.25, lines 28-63; col.29, line 33 – col.30, line 11)

Page 8

- said object reference generating device comprising a system structure information control unit which controls system structure information showing a structure of a system in which an object reference is applied, wherein said generating unit generates the object reference by dynamically setting address information conforming to the structure of the system based on the system structure information. (Glass, abstract; col.1, lines 32-46; col.2, line 60 – col.3, line 35; col.4, lines 29-38; fig.1-4; Dugan, col.5, line 66 - col.6, line 51; col.25, lines 28-63; col.29, line 33 – col.30, line 11)
- wherein said system structure information shows at least a structure of a load distribution system and a hot standby system. (Glass, abstract; col.1, lines 32-46; col.2, line 60 - col.3, line 35; col.4, lines 29-38; fig.1-4; Dugan, col.5, line 66 col.6, line 51; col.25, lines 28-63; col.29, line 33 – col.30, line 11)
- 8. With regard to *claims 9-10*, Glass, Howes, and Dugan disclose,
 - wherein the generating unit generates the object reference of the naming service in a load distributed environment. (Glass, col.3, lines 46-51; col.4, lines 8-12, lines 43-46; col.6, lines 31-35, lines 39-47, lines 51-54; col.7, lines 56-61; col.10, lines 48-59; fig.3-4)

Application/Control Number: 09/710,837 Page 9

Art Unit: 2145

wherein the object reference of the naming service is generated in a load

distributed environment. (Glass, col.3, lines 46-51; col.4, lines 8-12, lines 43-46;

col.6, lines 31-35, lines 39-47, lines 51-54; col.7, lines 56-61; col.10, lines 48-59;

fig.3-4)

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-8 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 571/272-3911. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 4:00PM. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason D. Cardone

can be reached on 571/272-3933. The fax phone numbers for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned are 571/273-8300 for regular communications

and 571/273-8300 for After Final communications.

Thomas Duong (AU2145)

June 21, 2006

Jason D. Cardone

Supervisory PE (AU2145)